

Rec. Nat. Prod. 11:3 (2017) 251-257

records of natural products

New Secondary Metabolites from an Endophytic Fungus in Porodaedalea pini

Shuen-Shin Yang¹, Ming-Jen Cheng^{2,*,†}, Hing-Yuen Chan², Sung-Yuan Hsieh², Ho-Cheng Wu¹, Gwo-Fang Yuan², Chu-Hung Lin³, Hsun-Shuo Chang^{1,3,4,5,*} and Ih-Sheng Chen^{1,3,4*}

¹ Graduate Institute of Natural Products, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan.

² Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC), Food Industry Research and Development Institute (FIRDI), Hsinchu 300, Taiwan.

³ School of Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan. ⁴ Research Center for Natural Products and Drug Development, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan.

⁵ Center for Infectious Disease and Cancer Research (CICAR), Kaohsiung Medical University,

Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan.

(Received November 01, 2016; Revised January 22, 2017; Accepted February 13, 2017)

Abstract: Eight benzenoids including three new phenols, piniphenols A–C (1–3), along with five known compounds, 4-vinylphenol (4) and 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (5), 2-methoxy-2-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)ethanol (6), 2,3-dihydroxypropyl acetate (7), and phenol (8) were isolated from ethyl acetate layer of liquid fermentation with *Porodaedalea pini* (Hymenochaetaceae) BCRC 35384. All structures were established by spectral analysis and comparison with the literature data. Of these isolates, 1 showed moderate NO inhibitory activity with an IC₅₀ value of 60.0 μ M. Compound 1 also showed the androgen receptor (AR) antagonism with the IC₅₀ value of 0.42 μ M. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on benzenoid metabolites from the genus *Porodaedalea*.

Keywords: *Porodaedalea pini*; fungus; benzenoids; NO inhibitory activity; androgen antagonism. © 2017 ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Endophytes are microorganisms that live in the internal tissues of their host without causing any apparent disease symptoms. Instead, endophytes affect their hosts in a positive way including growth enhancement and protection against pathogens and feeding damage [1–3]. An endophytic fungus, *Porodaedalea pini* (Brot.) Murrill (*Phellinus pini*) (Hymenochaetaceae), internal strain number BCRC 35384, was isolated from the *Pinus* species (Pinaceae). *P. pini* (Brot.) Murrill (*Phellinus pini*), which causes tree diseases commonly known as "red ring rot" or "white speck", plays an important forest disturbance agent and a key role in habitat formation for several forest animals [4]. The investigation

^{*} Corresponding authors: E-Mail: $\underline{m635013@kmu.edu.tw}$; Phone: (+886)-(0)7-312-1101, ext. 2191; *Fax*: (+886)-(0)7-321-0683 (I. S. Chen), E-Mail: <u>chengmingjen2001@yahoo.com.tw</u>, <u>cmj@firdi.org.tw</u> Phone:+(886)-(0)3-522-3191, ext. 585. (M. J. Cheng) [†]Author has equal contribution as the first author.

regarding the secondary constituents and biological activities of an endophytic fungus, *P. pini* BCRC 35384 have never been reported. Nitric oxide (NO) is a mediator of the inflammatory response involved in host defense. In the course of our search for potential diverse secondary metabolites from natural fungal sources and to further understanding of the minor metabolites of the genus *Porodaedalea*, we examined the EtOAc extract of *P. pini*, which showed inhibitory activity on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced nitric oxide (NO) release in RAW 264.7 murine macrophages, as determined by our primary screening (approximately 95% inhibition at a concentration of 10 μ g/mL). Investigation of the bioactive metabolites of the active EtOAc extract from the fungus *P. pini* led to the isolation of two new compounds, piniphenol A (1) and piniphenol B (2), together with one compound which was isolated from a natural resource for the first time, but have previously been synthesized, namely piniphenol C (3), together with five known compounds (Figure 1). The structures of these isolates were established by means of spectral experiments. The isolation, structure elucidation, and inhibitory effects of some isolates on NO production by macrophages are described herein..

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Experimental Procedure

All melting points were determined on a Yanaco micro melting apparatus (Yanaco, Kyoto, Japan) and were uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter (Jasco, Kyoto, Japan), UV spectra were obtained with a Jasco-V-530 UV/vis spectrophotometer (Jasco, Kyoto, Japan), and IR spectra (ATR) were acquired with a Mattson Genesis IITM FTIR spectrophotometer (Mattson Genesis, Mattson, Germany). 1D (¹H, ¹³C, DEPT) and 2D (COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC) NMR spectra, were recorded on a Varian Germini-200 spectrometer, Varian Mercuryplus-400 spectrometer, Varian VNMRS-600 spectrometer (Varian, Inc. Vacuum Technologies, MA, USA) operated at 200, 400, 600 MHz (1H) and 50, 100, 150 MHz (13C). Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained with POLARIS Q Thermo Finnigan (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Chicago, USA), Water ZQ 4000 (Waters, Massachusetts, USA), and VG Quattro GC/MS/MS/DS (Waters, Massachusetts, USA) mass spectrometers. HRESIMS were recorded on a Bruker APEX II mass spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). Silica gel (70-230 and 230-400 mesh; Silicycle, Quebec, Canada) was used for column chromatography (CC), and silica gel 60 F254 (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and RP-18 F254S (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for TLC and prep. TLC. Respectively, visualised with $Ce_2(SO_4)_3$ aq solution. Further purification was performed by medium-performance liquid chromatography (MPLC) (ceramic pump: VSP-3050; EYELA, Kyoto, Japan).

2.2. Microorganism Material

The fungus used in this study was isolated from the *Pinus* sp., and identified as *P. pini* on the basis of the rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) gene sequence. The strain is preserved with the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC) of the Food Industry Research and Development Institute (FIRDI), under the ID No. BCRC 35384.

2.3. Cultivation and Preparation of the Fungal Strain

The fungus used in this study was isolated from the *Pinus* sp., and identified as *P. pini* on the basis of the rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) gene sequence. 21-Day-old colonies of the *P. pini* strain on PDA medium in 9-cm Petri dish were cut into the bottle and blended for 30 seconds with 200 mL distilled water to prepare the fungal inoculum for liquid fermentation. Each 500 mL flask contained 200 mL liquid cultural media (ingredients: corn starch 30 g, corn steep liquor 10 g, yeast extract 5 g, and sea salt 2 g in 1L distilled water, pH 6) were added 10 mL fungal inocula and incubated at 25°C for three weeks on a rotary shaker at the speed of 100 circles/min. A total of 16 L fungal fermented broth were harvested and then filtrated to remove fungal mycelium.

2.4. Fermentation and Isolation

The liquid fermentate of P. pini BCRC 35384 (16 L) was partitioned with EtOAc, and the EtOAc-layer (3.54 g) was afforded. The H₂O layer was further extracted with *n*-BuOH, and got the n-BuOH-layer. The active EtOAc-soluble fraction was subjected to MPLC (SiO₂; n-hexane-EtOAc (10:1 to 5:1) to produce 14 fractions (Frs. 1~14). Fr. 5 (26.2 mg) was subjected to MPLC (SiO₂; n-hexane-EtOAc 7:1) to yield 3 (2.6 mg) and 4 (2.1 mg). Fr. 9 (9.6 mg) was subjected to MPLC $(SiO_2, n-hexane-acetone (10:1))$ to yield 1 (2.5 mg). Fr. 11 was further separated with prep. TLC $(H_2O-MeOH (1:1))$ to obtain 5 (R_f 0.44; 18.4 mg). Fr. 14 (3.4 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 (eluted with MeOH), gained eight fractions (Frs. 14-1~14-8). Fr. 14-3 (1.1 g) was then subjected to MPLC (SiO₂, *n*-hexane-EtOAc (4:1)) to yield 13 subfractions (Frs. 14-3-1~14-3-13). Fr. 14-3-5 was further purified by HPLC (CH₂Cl₂-acetone (40:1) in 35 min.) to gain 2 (1.5 mg). Fr. 14-3-10 was subjected to MPLC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (60:1)) to produce 15 subfractions (Frs. 14-3-10-1~14-3-10-15). Fr. 14-3-10-6 was further separated with prep. TLC (H₂O-acetonitrile (1:1)) to obtain 6 (R_f 0.47; 0.3 mg). Fr. 14-3-13 (283 mg) was subjected to MPLC (RP-18, H₂O-MeOH (3:1)) to produce 15 subfractions (Frs. 14-3-13-1~14-3-13-15). Fr. 14-3-13-2 was then subjected to MPLC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂-acetone (5:1)) to yield 7 (1.9 mg). Fr.14.5 (395 mg) was further separated with prep. TLC (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH (4:1)) to obtain 8 (R_f 0.29; 1.6 mg).

2.4.1. Piniphenol A (1)

Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} \pm 0$ (*c* 0.13, MeOH); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) (log ε): 225 (3.67), 276 (3.04) nm; IR ν_{max} (ATR): 3345 (OH), 1614, 1517, 1454 (aromatic ring) cm⁻¹; ESIMS *m/z* 217 [M+Na]⁺; HRESIMS *m/z* 217.0835 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd for C₁₁H₁₄NaO₃: 217.0841); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ_{H} : 1.73 (1H, dddd, *J* = 12.4, 10.0, 7.2, 6.2 Hz, H-3'b), 1.94 (1H, dddd, *J* = 13.3, 8.6, 6.2, 2.0 Hz, H-4'b), 2.20 (1H, dddd, *J* = 13.3, 10.0, 6.0, 5.2 Hz, H-4'a), 2.35 (1H, dddd, *J* = 12.4, 8.6, 7.2, 6.0 Hz, H-3'a), 3.41 (3H, s, OCH₃-5'), 4.92 (1H, br s, OH-1, D₂O exchangeable), 5.00 (1H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), 5.22 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.2, 2.0 Hz, H-5'), 6.79 (2H, d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H-2, H-6), 7.21 (2H, d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, H-3, H-5); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ_C : 32.5 (C-4'), 32.6 (C-3'), 54.9 (C-7), 79.1 (C-2'), 105.5 (C-5'), 115.2 (C-2, C-6), 127.5 (C-3, C-5), 134.5 (C-4), 155.0 (C-1).

2.4.2. Piniphenol B (2)

Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ -36.2 (*c* 0.083, MeOH); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) (log ε): 225 (3.67), 276 (3.04) nm; IR ν_{max} (ATR): 3310 (OH), 1604, 1454 (aromatic ring) cm⁻¹; ESIMS *m/z* 217 [M+Na]⁺; HRESIMS *m/z* 217.0844 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd for C₁₁H₁₄NaO₃: 217.0841); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ_{H} : 1.98 (1H, m, H-4'b), 2.05 (1H, m, H-3'b), 2.10 (1H, m, H-3'a), 2.22 (1H, m, H-4'a), 3.43 (3H, s, OCH₃-5'), 4.78 (1H, br s, OH-1, D₂O exchangeable), 4.96 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, H-2'), 5.07 (1H, d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, H-5'), 6.80 (2H, d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, H-2, H-6), 7.25 (2H, d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, H-3, H-5); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ_{C} : 32.9 (C-4'), 33.9 (C-3'), 54.7 (C-7), 82.6 (C-2'), 105.3 (C-5'), 115.2 (C-2, C-6), 128.0 (C-3, C-5), 135.4 (C-4), 154.9 (C-1).

2.4.3. Piniphenol C (3)

Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 6.38$ (*c* 0.017, CHCl₃); UV λ_{max} (MeOH) (log ε): 206 (3.79), 229 (3.91), 280 (3.33) nm; IR v_{max} (ATR): 3333 (OH), 1613, 1513 (aromatic ring) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ_{H} : 2.05, (1H, m, H-8b), 2.26 (1H, m, H-8a), 3.42 (1H, m, H-7), 4.50 (1H, br s, OH-1, D₂O exchangeable), 6.75 (2H, dd, J = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, H-2, H-6), 7.09 (2H, d, J = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, H-3, H-5); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ_C : 26.0 (C-8), 47.8 (C-7), 115.1 (C-2, C-6), 127.8 (C-3, C-5), 137.0 (C-4), 153.8 (C-1).

2.5. NO Production and Cell Viability Assay

Mouse macrophage cell line (RAW 264.7) was obtained from Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC 60001) and cultured at 37 °C in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco), 4.5 g/L glucose, 4 mm glutamine, penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100 mg/mL) in a humidified atmosphere in a 5% CO_2 incubator. The cells were treated with 10, 25, and 50 mm natural products in the presence of 1 mg/mL

Whitish LPS (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 h. The concentration of NO in culture supernatants was determined as nitrite, a major stable product of NO, by Griess reagent assay [5], and cell viabilities were determined using the MTT assay as described in [6].

2.6. Antiandrogen activity (AR) Assay

Human breast carcinoma MDA-MB-453 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium containing penicillin (25 U/mL), streptomycin (25 mg/mL), and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Transfections were performed using the electroporation method with a pPSA-SEAP plasmid (courtesy of Prof. C. H. Wang of Kaohsiung Medical University). Briefly, cells were 1×10^6 cells were resuspended in 0.5 mL medium with 10 µg plasmid DNA and pipetted into a 0.4-cm electroporation cuvette. Cells were incubated on ice for 5 min, electroporated at 250 V and 960 µF using a gene pulser (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and incubated for 5 more min on ice. Transfected cells were resuspended in growth medium with 10% charcoal-stripped FBS and seeded into a 96-well microplates. After an overnight incubation, cells were analyzed for secreted alkaline phosphatase activity using phospha-light reporter chemiluminescence assay kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) and the resulting luminescence was detected using a VICTOR Light 1420 luminescence counter (PerkinElmer, USA) [7].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Structure Elucidation

The liquid fermentation of P. pini BCRC 35384 was partitioned between EtOAc and water to give EtOAc fraction, which was fractionated by a combination of silica gel, MPLC, semi-preparative normal-phase HPLC, and prep. TLC to furnish eight compounds 1–8, including three new benzenoid derivatives, the structures of which were elucidated by 1D- and 2D-NMR spectra and comparison of their NMR data, IR, and optical rotation values with those of the compounds reported in the literature. Compound 1 was obtained as a colorless oil with $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25} \pm 0$ (c = 0.13, MeOH) and its molecular formula was deduced as $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ from the HRESIMS data $(m/z \ 217.0835 \ [M+Na]^+$ (Calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}NaO_3$: 217.0841), implying five degrees of unsaturation. The UV spectrum showed maximal absorptions at 225, 276 nm, suggested the presence of a phenolic skeleton of 1. Its IR spectrum displayed peaks at 3345 (OH group) and 1614, 1517, 1454 (aromatic ring) cm⁻¹. Analyses of the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated the presence of one phenolic OH group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.92 (1H, br s, OH-1, D₂O exchageable), one saturated furan moiety at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.73 (1H, dddd, J = 12.4, 10.0, 7.2, 6.2 Hz, H-3b), 1.94 (1H, dddd, J = 13.0, 8.6, 6.2, 2.0 Hz, H-4'b), 2.20 (1H, dddd, J = 13.0, 10.0, 6.0, 5.2 Hz, H-4'a), 2.35 $(1H, dddd, J = 12.4, 8.6, 7.2, 6.0 Hz, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), and 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), and 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), and 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), and 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-2'), and 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 5.2, H-3'a), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H_3'A), 5.00 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H_3'A), 5.00 (1H, t,$ 2.0 Hz, H-5'), one non-aromatic OCH₃ group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.41 (3H, s, OCH₃-5'), and an AA'BB' system characteristic signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.79 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-2, H-6) and 7.21 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H-3, H-5) ascribed to a 4-substituted phenol. The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra indicated 11 carbons, containing one methyl, two methylenes, six methines, and two quaternary carbons. HMBC spectrum showed correlations between H-3 & 5 (δ_H 7.21) to C-2' (δ_C 79.1) and H-2' (δ_H 4.96) to C-4 (δ_C 135.4), established that the tetrahydrofuran ring was located at C-4. The methoxy group was located at C-5' confirmed by the correlation between methoxy proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.41) and C-5' ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 105.5). High similarity was observed between the NMR data of 1 and those of 5-(4-methoxyphenyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl acetate [8], implying a close structural resemblance. The major differences was the presence of signals for an OH moiety at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.92 (1H, br s, OH-1, D₂O exchageable) and one OCH₃ group at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.41 (3H, s, OCH₃-5'), attached to C-1 and C-5' in **1**, instead of signals for one OCH₃ moiety at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.81 (1H, s, OCH₃-1) and one acetate group in 5-(4-methoxyphenyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-yl acetate (Satterfield et al. 2011). The relative configuration of 1 was proposed on the basis of the NOESY experiment. No NOE correlation (Figure 2) between H-2'/H-5' demonstrated that the H-2' and H-5' are trans form. Because of the optical inactivity, 1 was proposed to be racemic. These findings unambiguously established the relative configuration structure of compound 1 as depicted in Figure 1 and assigned to $4-((2R^*,5R^*)-5-$ methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)phenol and trivially named as piniphenol A.

Compound 2 was also assigned as $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ with $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -36.2 (c = 0.083, MeOH) by the molecular ion of HRESIMS at m/z 217.0844, an isomer of compound 1 with five degrees of unsaturation, showing almost similar IR absorption bands. Comparison of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2 with those of 1 revealed that these two compounds were structurally very similar. Meanwhile, the ¹³C NMR spectrum exhibited 11 signals that were clearly classified into six methines, two methylenes, one methyl, and two quaternary carbons based on the HSQC, suggesting 2 might be a benzenoid derivative, similar to 1, which was corroborated by its 2D NMR spectra involving ¹H, ¹H-COSY, HSQC, HMBC and NOESY (Figure 2). The only difference was that the chemical shift of H-5' of the tetrahydrofuran moiety in this compound was shielded ($\Delta\delta$ 0.15) in comparison to 1. The shielding effects of H-atoms at C-5' are probably due to the magnetic anisotropic effect of the phenyl ring in 2. The relative configurations of C-2' and C-5' were assigned by the comparison of the chemical shifts of H-2' and H-5' with literature [8][9], as well as its NOE correlations. The orientation of the two protons at H-2' and H-5' was suggested to be cis on the basis of the NMR chemical shifts and NOESY spectrum showed correlation between H-2' and H-5', consistent with the literature concerning cis-form tetrahydrofuran ring [8][9]. These findings collectively established the structure of compound 2 as formulated in Figure 1 and assigned to $4-((2S^*,5R^*)-5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl)$ phenol and trivially named as piniphenol B.

Compound **3** was also isolated as an optically inactive colorless oil, which easily decomposed. The UV spectrum showed maximal absorptions at 206, 229, 280 nm, suggested the presence of a phenolic skeleton. IR spectrum displayed peaks at 3333 (OH), 1613, 1513 (aromatic ring) cm⁻¹. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3** were identical to that of one synthetic compound, (+)-4-hydroxyphenyloxirane [10], with dextrorotatory optical activity $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 4.95$ (c = 0.8, CHCl₃). However, **3** with $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 6.38$ (c = 0.017, CHCl₃) is considered to be an enantiomer of (+)-4-hydroxyphenyloxirane. On the basis of the above spectral evidence, the structure of **3** was elucidated as (-)-4-hydroxyphenyloxirane, named piniphenol C. This is the first report of compound **3** having been isolated from a natural source, although it has been synthesized previously [10][11][12]. The MS of **3** was calculated as m/z 136 [M]⁺, but only the m/z 120 [M]⁺ was found in this study. We predict that the epoxide group of compound **3** might be unstable and changed to the vinyl group, like 4-vinylphenol (**4**) (m/z 120 [M]⁺). The above data indicated that the structure of **3** is (-)-4-hydroxyphenyloxirane, the structure of which was further confirmed by HSQC, NOESY, DEPT, and HMBC experiment.

The known isolates were readily identified by comparison of physical and spectroscopic data (UV, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, $[\alpha]_D$, and MS) with corresponding literature values, and these included five benzenoids, 4-vinylphenol (4) [13], 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (5) [14], 2-methoxy-2-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)ethanol (6) [15], phenol (8) [16], one fatty ester, 2,3-dihydroxypropyl acetate (7) [17].

3.2 Anti-inflammatory Activity and Antagonism Receptor(AR) Antagonism

The isolates in sufficient amounts (1, 2, 5, and 7), were evaluated by examining their inhibitory effects on LPS-induced inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS)-dependent NO and IL-6 production in the murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 and androgen receptor antagonism. From the results of above tests, the following conclusions can be drawn: Compared to quercetin (IC₅₀ value $35.8\pm1.2 \mu$ M), which was used as positive control in this study, piniphenol A (1) exhibited NO inhibitory activity with IC_{50} values of 60.0±3.8 µM. And the piniphenol A (1) show and rogen receptor antagonism with IC_{50} values of 0.42 μ M compare with positive control Casodex (IC₅₀ value 1.67 ± 0.20 μ M). Among the benzenoids analogs piniphenol A with a $(2R^*, 5R^*)$ -5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl group exhibited higher inhibition than its analog 2 with a $(2S^*, 5R^*)$ -5-methoxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl C-4. Cytotoxic effects were determined group at using MTT (= 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide) assay. The high cell viability (> 96%) indicated that the androgen receptor antagonism by active compound 1 did not result from its cytotoxicities.



Figure 1. Chemical structures of the compounds (1-8) obtained from the *Porodaedalea pini*.



Figure 2. Key COSY (bond line), HMBCs ($H \rightarrow C$), and NOESY ($H \leftrightarrow H$) correlations for compounds 1 and 2.

Acknowledgments

This work kindly supported by the *Ministry of Economic Affairs*. Taiwan, ROC to Food Industry Reaserch & Development Institute (FIRDI).

Supporting Information

Supporting Information accompanies this paper on http://www.acgpubs.org/RNP_

References

- [1] A. A. L. Gunatilaka (2006). Natural products from plant-associated microorganisms: distribution, structural diversity, *J. Nat. Prod.* **69**, 509-526.
- [2] B. Schulz, C. Boyle, S. Draeger, A.K. Römmert and K. Krohn (2002). Endophytic fungi : a source of novel biologically active secondary metabolites, *Mycol. Res.* **106**, 996-1004.
- [3] R. X. Tan and W. X. Zou (2001). Endophytes: a rich source of functional metabolites, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **18**, 448-459.
- W. J. Jeong, Y. W. Lim, J. S. Lee and H. S. Jung (2005). Phylogeny of *Phellinus* and related genera inferred from combined data of ITS and mitochondrial SSU rDNA sequences, *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 15, 1028–1038.

- T. M. Dawson and V. L. Dawson (1995). Nitric oxide: actions and pathological roles, *The Neuroscientist* 1, 7–18.
- [6] D. A. Scudiero, R. H. Shoemaker, K. D. Paull, A. Monks, S. Tierney, T. H. Nofziger, M. J. Currens, D. M. Seniff and R. Boyd (1988). Evaluation of a soluble tetrazolium/formazan assay for cell growth and drug sensitivity in culture using human and other tumor cell lines, *Cancer Res.* 48, 4827–4833.
- [7] M. C. Alley, D. A. Scudiero, A. Monks, M. L. Hursey, M. J. Czerwinski, D. L. Fine, B. J. Abbott, J. G. Mayo, R. H. Shoemaker and M. R. Boyd (1988). Feasibility of drug screening with panels of human tumor cell lines using a microculture tetrazolium assay, *Cancer Res.* 48, 589–601.
- [8] A. D. Satterfield, A. Kubota and M. S. Sanford (2011). Palladium-catalyzed 1,1-aryloxygenation of terminal olefins, *Org. Lett.* **13**, 1076–1079.
- [9] I. Čorić, S. Müller and B. List (2010). Kinetic resolution of homoaldols via catalytic asymmetric transacetalization, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 17370–17373.
- [10] K. Sarma, A. Goswami and B. C. Goswami (2009). Exploration of chiral induction on epoxides in lipase-catalyzed epoxidation of alkenes using (2*R*,3*S*,4*R*,5*S*)-(-)-2,3:4,6-di-*O*-isopropylidiene-2-keto-L-gulonic acid monohydrate, *Tetrahed. Asymm.* 20, 1295–1300.
- [11] V. N. Telvekar and R. A. Rane (2010). Novel iodine reagent system for regioselective cleavage of epoxides to alcohols, *Synth. Commun.* **40**, 2108-2112.
- [12] A. Yoshimura, H. M. Neu, V. N. Nemykin and V. V. Zhdankin (2010). Metalloporphyrin/Iodine(III)-Cocatalyzed oxygenation of aromatic hydrocarbons, *Adv. Synths. Catal.* 352, 1455-1460.
- [13] P. Y. Chen, Y. H. Wu, M. H. Hsu, T. P. Wang and E. C. Wang (2013). Cerium ammonium nitrate-mediated the oxidative dimerization of *p*-alkenylphenols: a new synthesis of substituted (±)-*trans*-dihydrobenzofurans, *Tetrahedron* **69**, 653–657.
- [14] T. S. Wu, F. D. Chang, P. L. Wu, C. S. Kuoh and I. S. Chen (1995). Constituents of leaves of *Tetradium* glabrifolium, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. **42**, 929–934.
- [15] T. Matsumura, T. Ishikawa and J. Kitajima (2002). Water-soluble constituents of caraway: aromatic compound, aromatic compound glucoside and glucides, *Phytochemistry* **61**, 455–459.
- [16] K. Chen, C. Liu, L. Deng and G. Xu (2010). A practical Δ^1 -dehydrogenation of Δ^4 -3-keto-steroids with DDQ in the presence of TBDMSCl at room temperature, *Steroids* **75**, 513–516.
- [17] P. Gopinath, S. Nilaya and K. M. Muraleedharan (2011). Highly chemoselective esterification reactions and Boc/THP/TBDMS discriminating deprotections under samarium(III) catalysis, *Org. Lett.* 13, 1932–1935.



© 2017 ACG Publications