

Rec. Nat. Prod. 11:4 (2017) 395-400

records of natural products

Essential Oil Constituents and Biological Activities of Leaf Extracts of Semenovia suffruticosa from Iran

Javad Mottaghipisheh¹, Mohammad Ansari Moghaddam¹, Jafar Valizadeh², Malek Taher Maghsoudlou¹ and Marcello Iriti^{3*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Sistan & Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran Medicinal and Ornamental Plants Research Institute, University of Sistan & Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran

(Received September 22, 2016; Revised March 03, 2017; Accepted March 07, 2017)

Abstract: Semenovia suffruticosa (Freyn et Bornm.) Manden. is one of the species of genus Semenovia (Apiaceae family). The essential oil of S. suffruticosa was obtained by hydrodistillation and analysed by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC/MS). The main components were $cis-\beta$ -ocimene (12.9%), linalool (9.5%), γ -terpinene (9.0%) and α -terpinolene (7.4%), representing the 38.8% of the oil. Antibacterial activity of S. suffruticosa ethanol, chloroform, ethyl acetate and aqueous leaf extracts was evaluated for the first time. The various extracts were tested by the disc-diffusion assay for antimicrobial activity against common animal and human infectious bacteria. Pseudomonas aeruginosa exhibited the highest sensitivity against the extracts, with a 13-15 mm zone of inhibition. Antiradical activity of S. suffruticosa ethanol, chloroform, ethyl acetate and aqueous leaf extracts was determined by DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical, FRAP (ferric reducing antioxidant power) and β -carotene/linoleic acid assays. Ethanol extract was the most powerful free radical scavenger in all these methods. These results, though preliminary, suggest that leaf extracts of S. suffruticosa exert promising antioxidant and antibacterial activities.

Keywords: Apiaceae; antiradical activity; antibacterial activity; Iranian traditional medicine. © 2017 ACG Publications. All rights reserved.

1. Plant source

The aerial parts of S. suffruticosa were collected during the flowering period in May 2010 from Taftan mountain, Zahedan, Iran (GPS coordinates: 61.20816, 28.22529), at an altitude of ca. 3620 m. Taxonomic identification of the plant materials was confirmed at the Biology Department of University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran. The plant also matched with digital herbarium of Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität (http://ww2.bgbm.org/herbarium/(Barcode: B 10 0367207/ImageId: 309161).

2. Previous studies

The genus Semenovia (Apiaceae) consists of herbaceous, aromatic, perennial plants growing mostly in Mediterranean, Turkish and Iranian mountainous regions [1,2]. This family has about 300

³Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Milan State University, Milan, Italy

Corresponding author: E-Mail: marcello.iriti@unimi.it;(Marcello Iriti)

genus and 3000 species mainly used as edible vegetables and sources of volatile oils and drugs [3]. Of about 20 species of genus *Semenovia* growing in Asia, 11 are found in Iran and 5 are endemic [4].

The major essential oil components in *S. suffruticosa* were linalool (13.9%) and lavandulyl acetate (11.5%), whereas in *Semenovia tragioides* (Boiss.) were *p*-cymene (20.5%) and *cis-\beta*-ocimene (9.7%) [5,6]. In addition, Sardashti et al. reported *cis-\beta*-ocimene (21.4%) and γ -terpinene (7.2%) as the most abundant constituents of *S. suffruticosa* essential oil [7].

Although antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of a few *Semenovia* species have been investigated in a limited number of studies [8,9], to date, no information is available on *S. suffruticosa* biological activity, to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, the aim of this study is to evaluate, for the first time, the antibacterial and free radical scavenging activities of *S. suffruticosa* leaf extracts, besides determining the chemical composition of the essential oil from aerial parts of the plant.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the essential oil of *S. suffruticosa*.

No.	Compound ^a	%	$\mathbf{RI}^{\mathbf{b}}$	RI*	RI**
1	2-Hexanal	0.01	827	-	-
2	Isobutyl isobutyrate	0.07	904	829	-
3	α -Thujone	0.05	927	848	924
4	α-Pinene	0.07	934	855	933
5	Propyl isovalerate	0.01	956	-	-
6	Camphene	0.01	959	866	-
7	Sabinene	0.7	974	891	968
8	3-Octanone	0.1	982	895	-
9	β -Myrcene	0.3	990	910	-
10	Isobutyl isovalerate	2.5	999	922	-
11	3-Methyl anisole	0.1	1008	924	-
12	α-Terpinene	0.9	1012	933	-
13	Cymol	4.7	1016	-	-
14	1,8-Cineole	0.9	1018	942	-
15	Limonene	0.4	1021	944	-
16	cis - β -Ocimene	12.9	1033	960	-
17	n-Butyl isovalerate	0.3	1035	961	-
18	<i>trans-β</i> -Ocimene	0.8	1038	966	-
19	γ-Terpinene	9.0	1050	974	1051
20	cis-Sabinene hydrate	0.1	1054	997	-
21	1-Octanol	0.1	1068	-	-
22	α-Terpinolene	7.4	1074	1000	1076
23	eta-Thujone	0.1	1077	-	-
24	Linalool	9.5	1086	1010	1085
25	n-Amyl isovalerate	1.5	1093	1016	-
26	Camphor	0.2	1105	-	-
27	Allo-ocimene	0.6	1115	1038	-
28	Epoxy terpinolene	0.2	1121	-	-
29	Lavandulol	0.6	1144	-	1156
30	4-Terpineole	0.5	1150	1073	-
31	<i>p</i> -Cimene-8-ol	0.2	1152	1075	-
32	p-Alilanisol	0.5	1178	1086	-

33	z-2,6-Dimethyl-3,5,7-octatrien-2-ol	0.07	1226	1095	-	
34	Hexyl-n-valerate	0.1	1235	1105	-	
35	n-Octyl acetate	0.3	1241	1109	-	
36	Nerol	0.04	1249	1121	-	
37	z-3-Hexenyl isopentanoat	0.3	1251	-	-	
38	Hexyl isovalerate	2.0	1256	1139	-	
39	p-Methoxy acetophenone	0.2	1263	-	-	
40	Lavandulyl acetate	0.1	1272	-	1269	
41	<i>n</i> -Octyl isobutyrate	2.1	1336	1252	1319	
42	Methyl eugenol	0.3	1372	1295	1363	
43	trans-Caryophyllene	0.1	1407	1320	-	
44	Geranyl acetate	0.2	1412	-	-	
45	<i>trans-β</i> -Farnesene	0.2	1422	1349	-	
46	Geranyl butyrate	0.4	1429	1352	-	
47	Germacrene D	0.1	1471	1361	-	
48	Neryl-2-methylpropanoate	0.3	1479	1367	-	
59	Bicyclogermacrene	1.0	1487	1372	1497	
50	Geranyl isovalerate	1.1	1490	-	-	
51	δ -Cadinene	0.4	1493	1388	-	
52	trans-y-Bisabolene	0.03	1497	1396	-	
53	cis-α-Bisabolene	0.1	1501	1406	-	
54	Germacrene B	0.05	1520	1415	-	
55	Nerolidol	0.1	1536	1426	-	
56	Spathulenol	0.6	1551	1432	1580	
57	Hydrocinnamyl isobutyrate	0.2	1668	-	-	
58	Cinnamyl isovalerate	0.1	1682	-	1644	
59	Isospathulenol	0.05	1617	-	-	
60	β -Eudesmol	0.05	1661	1521	-	
61	Cinnamyl ester	1.5	1676	-	-	
62	α -Bisabolol	0.8	1680	1548	-	
63	Phytol	0.02	2091	-	-	
	Total	69.3%				

^aCompounds listed in order of elution from HP-1MS column.

3. Present Study

The crushed air-dried plant material (45g) was subjected to hydrodistillation for 2.5 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus [10]. Anhydrous sodium sulphate was used and yellowish oil stored (4°C). GC/MS analysis resulted in the identification of 63 compounds (yield 0.4 % v/w), i.e. the 69.3% of the constituents (Table 1). The main components were cis- β -ocimene (12.9%), linalool (9.5%), γ -terpinene (9.0%) and α -terpinolene (7.4%), representing the 38.8% of the oil. In general, the essential oil

^bRelative retention indices to C₈–C₂₄ *n*-alkanes on HP-1MS column.

RI*: Retention indexes in the study of Sardashti et al. [7]

RI**: Retention indexes in the study of Rustaiyan et al. [5]

consisted mostly of monoterpenes (50.8%) with both non-oxygenated and oxygenated alkene structures.

The powder of air-dried leaves of *S. suffruticosa* (10g) was extracted by ethanol, ethyl acetate, chloroform and water, in a ratio of 1:3, using maceration method for 24h at room temperature. The measurement of antioxidant activities has been carried out at the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Sistan & Baluchestan, Iran. The DPPH scavenging activity of the extracts was measured by the bleaching of the purple methanol solution of DPPH [11]. In DPPH assay, the ethanol extract was the most powerful radical scavenger, with the lowest IC_{50} (µg/mL), followed by *n*-hexane and aqueous extracts. Ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts showed a lower antiradical activity (Supporting information).

The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay was carried out according to Benzie and Strain [13] with some modifications. In FRAP assay, the ethanol and ethyl acetate extracts exhibited the highest antioxidant power, with 40.10 ± 4.02 and 36.88 ± 3.28 mmol Fe²⁺/mg sample, respectively, followed by chloroform and *n*-hexane extracts (Supporting information).

The antioxidant activity was also determined by β -carotene/linoleic acid assay according to the method described by Miller [12]. In β -carotene/linoleic acid assay, similar to FRAP method, chloroform and ethanol extracts showed the highest antioxidant activity expressed as IC_{50} ($\mu g/mL$) (Supporting information).

Antibacterial activity was tested using agar-based disk diffusion assay standardized by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory NCCLS [14], at the Biochemistry Laboratory of Medicinal and Ornamental Plants Research Institute, University of Sistan & Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran. Antibacterial activity was assessed against six Gram-positive bacterial strains: *Micrococcus roseus* (ATCC516), *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* (ATCC29970), *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (ATCC15305), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC6538), *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC29212), and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (ATCC6301); and fourteen Gram-negative bacterial strains: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC25922), *Salmonella paratyphi* A (ATCC9150), *S. paratyphi* B (ATCC8759), *S. paratyphi* C (ATCC13428), *Xanthomonas maltophilia* (ATCC13270), *Enterobacter cloaca* (ATCC13047), *Shigella flexneri* (ATCC9380), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (ATCC17978), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC25619), *Hafnia alvei* (ATCC51873), *Edwardsiella tarda* (ATCC23685), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC10031), *Proteus mirabilis* (ATCC29906), and *Yersinia enterocolitica* (ATCC35669). Antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring the inhibition zone diameter and compared with ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin as positive controls.

Antibacterial activity at 24h of *S. suffruticosa* is reported in Table 2. In general, among the extracts, the ethyl acetate and chloroform exhibited the highest antimicrobial activity, compared with conventional antibiotics, particularly against some Gram-positive bacterial strains, *i.e. Staphylococcus haemolyticus* and *Micrococcus roseus*. Against Gram-negative bacteria, all the extracts exhibited a mild inhibitory activity, in comparison with antibiotics.

The reports on the chemical composition of *S. suffruticosa* essential oil are very scant in the literature. Linalool (13.9%) and lavandulyl acetate (11.5%) were the main essential oil constituents detected by Rustaiyan et al. [5], whereas cis- β -ocimene (21.4%) and γ -terpinene (7.2%) were among the most abundant essential oil components according to Sardashti et al. [7]. Our results are partly in agreeing with both these studies, since our essential oil was rich in cis- β -ocimene (12.9%), linalool (9.5%) and γ -terpinene (9.0%).

In other species of *Semenovia*, high levels of p-cymene (20.5%) and cis- β -ocimene (9.7%) were measured in the essential oil of *S. tragioides* collected from the south east of Iran [6]. In addition, caryophyllene oxide (25.5%) was reported as the major compound in the essential oil of *Semenovia dichotoma* Boiss. [8], as well as geranyl acetate in *Semenovia lasiocarpa* Ashraf & Bhatty [15].

The results obtained by DPPH, FRAP and β -carotene/linoleic acid assays indicated ethanol and ethyl acetate fractions as the most powerful antioxidant leaf extracts of *S. suffruticosa*. Noteworthy, ethyl acetate fraction was also one of the extracts showing the highest antibacterial activity, thus suggesting the presence of highly bioactive (and still unknown) compounds in this fraction. In addition, chloroform and aqueous extracts were active too, thus indicating that components with different polarity may be responsible for the observed antimicrobial activity.

Table 2. Antibacterial activity of different leaf extracts of S. suffruticosa against selected Gram-

positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains.

Microorganisms	Zone of inhibition diameter (mm)*					
		Plant extract			Antibiotic	
Gram-positive bacteria	Et	E-A	Ch	Aq	C	A
Micrococcus roseus	8	12	10	-	ND	14
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	8	14	15	10	ND	10
Staphylococcus saprophyticus	-	-	-	-	ND	12
Staphylococcus aureus	7	12	10	8	ND	22
Enterococcus faecalis	-	-	-	-	20	ND
Stroptococcus pneumoniae	-	-	-	-	32	ND
Gram-negative bacteria						
Escherichia coli	8	10	-	12	35	ND
Salmonella paratyphi A	-	10	12	7	35	ND
Salmonella paratyphi B	-	-	8	-	27	ND
Salmonella paratyphi C	6	10	10	6	32	ND
Xanthomonas maltophilia	-	-	-	-	32	ND
Enterobacter cloaca	7	7	-	-	40	ND
Shigella flexneri	12	14	12	15	24	ND
Acinetobacter baumannii	-	7	9	-	22	ND
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	13	15	15	14	36	ND
Hafnia alvei	-	-	-		32	ND
Edwardsiella tarda	8	-	9	-	42	ND
Klebsiella pneumoniae	7	-	9	-	28	ND
Proteus mirabilis	-	7	8	-	14	ND
Yersinia enterocolitica	8	7	-	-	45	ND

^{*}Et, ethanol extract; E-A, ethyl-acetate extract, Ch, chloroform extract; Aq, aqueous extract; C, ciprofloxacin; A, amoxicillin; -, no inhibition; ND, not determined.

According to our knowledge, no information is currently available on antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *S. suffrutticosa* solvent and aqueous extracts, as well as essential oil. The methanol extract of *S. tragioides* aerial parts highly inhibited linoleic acid oxidation in the β -carotene/linoleic acid test, though it did not exhibit significant antioxidant activity in DPPH assay. In addition, this fraction only weakly inhibited *Bacillus subtilis* and *Shigella dysenteriae* [9].

S. dichotoma essential oil was active against the Gram-positive bacteria S. aureus and S. saprophyticus, but showed only moderate inhibitory activity against the Gram-negative bacteria S. flexnery and E. coli [8]. In another study, the essential oil of S. frigida (Boiss.& Hausskn.) Manden. exhibited significant activity against all Gram-positive bacteria, especially Streptococcus pyogenes and Bacillus anthracis, and moderate inhibitory activity against the Gram-negative bacteria E. coli and K. pneumonia. Noteworthy, Pseudomonas aeruginosa was insensitive to this oil, differently from our extracts [16].

In conclusion, our results have indicated that the extracts of *S. suffruticosa* possess promising antioxidant and antibacterial activities, thus deserving attention and further investigation. In the future, the main constituents of the most active extracts will be characterized, as well as their toxicological profile on eukaryotic cells will be investigated. Not least, a nanotechnology-based drug delivery system will be developed in order to improve efficacy.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the staff and financial supports of the Department of Biology and Chemistry, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran, for assisting in this research.

References

- [1] A. Ghahreman (1999). Plant systematic, Cormophytes of Iran. Iran University Press, Vol. 2, pp.670.
- [2] R. Omidbaigi (2005). Production and processing of medicinal plants. Mashhad, Iran, Behnashr, Vol. 1, pp.124.
- [3] M. Rahman and S. Gul (2002). Antibacterial activity of hydrodistilled essential oil of Psammogeton Canescens N.O. Umbelliferae, *Biotechnology* **1**, 55–60.
- [4] K.H. Rechinger (1987). Flora Iranica, Umbelliferae, *Semenovia*. Graz: Akademische Druck and Verlagsanstalt, pp.1-555.
- [5] A. Rustaiyan, S. Masoudi and Z. Aghajani (1999). The essential oil of *Semenovia suffruticosa* (Freyn et Bornm.), *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **11**, 365-366.
- [6] N. Masoudi, A. Rustaiyan, S. Ameri, A. Monfared, H. Komeilizadeh, M. Kamalinejad and J. Jami-Roodi (2002). Volatile oils of *Carum copticum* (L.) C.B. Clarke in Benth. et Hook. and *Semenovia tragioides* (Boiss.) Manden. from Iran, *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **14**, 288-289.
- [7] A. Sardashti, A. Ganjali and A. Kordi (2012). Effect of humic substances on the quality of essential oils of medicinal plants, *J. Med. Plants Res.* **6**, 2644-2654.
- [8] Sh. Masoudi, A. Monfared, A. Rustaiyan and F. Chalabian (2005). Composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oils of *Semenovia dichotoma* (Boiss.) Manden., *Johreniopsis seseloides* (C.A.Mey) M.Pimen. and *Bunium cylindricum* (Boiss. et Hohen.) Drude, three Umbelliferae herbs growing wild in Iran, *J. Essent. Oil Res.* 17, 691-694.
- [9] A. Bamoniri, A.H. Ebrahimabadi, A. Mazoochi, M. Behpour, F. Jookar Kashi and H. Batooli (2010). Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity evaluation and essential oil analysis of *Semenovia tragioides* Boiss. from Iran, *Food Chem.* **122**, 553–558.
- [10] Anonymous, European pharmacopoeia (1996). Strasbourg, France: Council of Europe, 3rd edn, pp.121–
- [11] W. Brand-Williams, M.E. Cuvelier and C. Berset (1995). Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **28**, 25-30.
- [12] I.F.F. Benzie and J.J. Strain (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": The FRAP assay, *Anal. Biochem.* **239**, 70-76.
- [13] H.E. Miller (1971). A simplified method for the evaluation of antioxidants, *J. Am. Oil. Chem. Soc.* **48**, 91.
- [14] NCCLS (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards) (1997). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Test, Approved Standard, M2-A6, Wayne, PA, 6th edn.
- [15] M. Ashraf and M.K. Bhatty (1978). Studies on the essential oils of the Pakistani species of the family umbelliferae, *Pakistan J. Sci. Ind. Res.* **2**, 73–74.
- [16] Sh. Masoudi, A. Faridchehr, S. Alizadehfard, N. Zabarjadshiraz, F. Chalabian, R. Taghizadfarid and A. Rustaiyan (2011). Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oils of *Semenovia frigida* and *Chaerophyllum bulbosum* from Iran, *Chem. Nat. Compd.* 47, 829-832.



© 2017 ACG Publications